

Ex. Poisson(λ)

Assume $X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} \text{Pois}(\lambda)$ for $\lambda > 0$

$$f(\underline{x}) = \prod_{n=1}^n f(x_n)$$

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{x!} \lambda^x e^{-\lambda} \mathbb{I}(x \in \mathbb{N}_0)$$

$$= \prod_{n=1}^n \frac{1}{x_n!} \lambda^{x_n} e^{-\lambda} \mathbb{I}(x_n \in \mathbb{N}_0)$$

$$= \left(\prod_n \frac{1}{x_n!} \right) \left(\prod_n \lambda^{x_n} \right) \left(\prod_n e^{-\lambda} \right) \left(\prod_n \mathbb{I}(x_n \in \mathbb{N}_0) \right)$$

$$= \underbrace{\left(\prod_n \frac{1}{x_n!} \right) \left(\prod_n \mathbb{I}(x_n \in \mathbb{N}_0) \right)}_{h(\underline{x})} \underbrace{\lambda^{\sum_n x_n} e^{-N\lambda}}_{d(\lambda)}$$

$$\rightarrow \left(\frac{\sum_n x_n}{n} - \rho_{X_0} / \log(\lambda^{\sum_n x_n}) \right)$$

$$\lambda^{\sum x_n} = \exp(\log(\lambda^{\sum x_n}))$$

$$= \exp\left(\underbrace{\left(\sum_n x_n\right)}_{T(x)} \underbrace{\log(\lambda)}_{w(x)}\right)$$

So this forms an exp. fam.

Short-cut: just need to check marginal

If marginal has the form:

$$f_{\theta}(x) = h_{\theta}(x) c_{\theta}(\theta) \exp(T_{\theta}(x) w_{\theta}(\theta))$$

↑
marginal

then

$$f(\underline{x}) = \prod_n f(x_n) = \prod_n h_{\theta}(x_n) c_{\theta}(\theta) \exp(T_{\theta}(x_n) w_{\theta}(\theta))$$

$$= \prod_n h_{\theta}(x_n) \underbrace{\prod_n c_{\theta}(\theta)}_{c_{\theta}(\theta)^N} \exp\left(\underbrace{\left(\sum_n T_{\theta}(x_n)\right)}_{T(x)} \underbrace{w_{\theta}(\theta)}_{w(\theta)}\right)$$

this has exp. fam. form.

$$\underbrace{h(x)} \quad \underbrace{c(\theta)} \quad \underbrace{T(x)} \quad \underbrace{w(\theta)}$$

Punchline: If marginal has this form the joint is an exp fam w/

$$h(\tilde{x}) = \prod_n h_0(x_n)$$

$$c(\theta) = c_0(\theta)^N$$

$$T(\tilde{x}) = \sum_n T_0(x_n)$$

$$w(\theta) = w_0(\theta)$$

Re-do poisson:

$X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} \text{Pois}(\lambda)$

$$f(x_n) = \frac{1}{x_n!} \lambda^{x_n} e^{-\lambda} \mathbb{I}(x_n \in \mathbb{N}_0)$$

$$f(x_n) = \frac{1}{x_n!} \lambda^{x_n} e^{-\lambda}$$

$$= \underbrace{\left(\frac{1}{x_n!}\right)}_{h_0(x_n)} \underbrace{\left(\mathbb{I}(x_n \in \mathbb{N}_0)\right)}_{c_0(\lambda)} e^{-\lambda} \exp\left(\underbrace{x_n}_{T_0(x_n)} \underbrace{\log(\lambda)}_{w(\lambda)}\right)$$

So, yes, this exp. fam, w/ components

$$\left\{ \begin{aligned} h(\underline{x}) &= \prod_n h_0(x_n) = \prod_n \frac{1}{x_n!} \mathbb{I}(x_n \in \mathbb{N}_0) \\ c(\lambda) &= c_0(\lambda)^N = e^{-N\lambda} \\ T(\underline{x}) &= \sum_n T_0(x_n) = \sum_n x_n \\ w(\lambda) &= \log(\lambda) \end{aligned} \right.$$

Ex. $X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} U(0, \theta)$.

Q: Is this an exp. fam?

$$f_{\theta}(x_n) = \frac{1}{\theta} \text{ for } 0 < x_n < \theta$$

$$= \frac{1}{\theta} \mathbb{I}(0 < x_n < \theta)$$

No way to factor as
(fn of x_n) · (fn of θ)

No, not an exp. fam.

General rule: if the unknown param θ
shows up in support of dist
then its not an exp. fam.

Defn: Sufficiency

Assume $X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} f_{\theta}$

We say a stat. $T = T(\underline{X})$ is
sufficient

\cup
sufficient

for θ if

$$f_{\underline{x} | T=t}(\underline{x})$$

is "free" of θ .

\uparrow θ doesn't appear in formula

Ex. If I have data X_1, \dots, X_N is

$$T = X_1$$

sufficient? **No.**

Ex. Is $T = \underline{X}$ sufficient for θ ?

Yes.

pf. $f(\underline{x} | T=t)$

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_N)$$

$$= \frac{f(\underline{x}, t)}{f_T(t)} = \frac{f(\underline{x}, \underline{x})}{f(\underline{x})}$$

$$= \frac{f(\underline{x})}{f(\underline{x})} = 1$$

no θ



Theorem: Factorization Theorem

T is sufficient for θ

iff

there are fns $g(\theta, T)$ and $h(\underline{x})$

so that

$$f_{\theta}(\underline{x}) = g(\theta, T) h(\underline{x}).$$

joint

$T(\underline{x})$

fn of \underline{x}

not θ

fn of θ
and
fn of X s ONLY via T

not θ

Ex. let $X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} \text{Bern}(\theta)$, $\theta \in [0, 1]$

let $T = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N X_n = \bar{X} = \text{pdf of } X_n = 1$

Apply fact. thm. equiv. $f(x_n) = \begin{cases} \theta, & x_n = 1 \\ 1 - \theta, & x_n = 0 \end{cases}$

$$f(\underline{x}) = \prod_n \theta^{x_n} (1 - \theta)^{1 - x_n} \mathbb{1}(x_n = 0 \text{ or } 1)$$

$$= \left(\prod_n \theta^{x_n} \right) \left(\prod_n (1 - \theta)^{1 - x_n} \right) \prod_n \mathbb{1}(x_n = 0 \text{ or } 1)$$

$$= \theta^{\sum_n x_n} (1 - \theta)^{\sum_n (1 - x_n)} \prod_n \mathbb{1}(\dots)$$

$$= \theta^{N\bar{X}} (1 - \theta)^{N - N\bar{X}} \prod_n \mathbb{1}(\dots)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \theta^{N\bar{x}} (1-\theta)^{-N\bar{x}} (1-\theta)^N \prod_n \mathbb{1}(\dots) \\
 &= \left(\frac{\theta}{1-\theta} \right)^{N\bar{x}} (1-\theta)^N \prod_n \mathbb{1}(\dots)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$g(\theta, T) = \left(\frac{\theta}{1-\theta} \right)^{NT} (1-\theta)^N h(\underline{x})$$

$$= g(\theta, T) h(\underline{x}), \quad T = \bar{x}$$

So, T is sufficient.

Ex. let $X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} U(0, \theta), \theta > 0$

Find a SS for θ .

1-dim'l

marginal:

$$\begin{aligned}f_{\theta}(x_n) &= \frac{1}{\theta} \mathbb{I}(0 < x_n < \theta) \\ &= \frac{1}{\theta} \mathbb{I}(x_n > 0) \mathbb{I}(x_n < \theta)\end{aligned}$$

joint:

$$f(\underline{x}) = \prod_n f(x_n)$$

$$= \prod_n \frac{1}{\theta} \mathbb{I}(x_n > 0) \mathbb{I}(x_n < \theta)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\theta^N} \prod_n \mathbb{I}(x_n > 0) \prod_n \mathbb{I}(x_n < \theta)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\theta^N} \underbrace{\mathbb{I}(x_{(1)} > 0) \mathbb{I}(x_{(N)} < \theta)}_{h(\underline{x})}$$

$$= g(\theta, T) h(\underline{x})$$

$$T = X_{(N)}$$

$$g(\theta, T) = \frac{1}{\theta^N} \mathbb{1}(T < \theta)$$

So T is sufficient for θ .

Theorem:

If $X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} f_\theta$ and

$$f_\theta(\underline{x}) = h(\underline{x}) c(\theta) \exp(T(\underline{x}) w(\theta))$$

i.e. is exp. fam.

then $T(\underline{x})$ is sufficient for θ .

Pf. Fact. thrm.

$$f_\theta(\underline{x}) = h(\underline{x}) c(\theta) \exp(T(\underline{x}) w(\theta))$$

⋮
⋮
⋮

$$= g(\theta, \tau) h(\underline{x})$$

$$g(\theta, \tau) = d(\theta) \exp(\tau \cdot w(\theta))$$

Ex. $X_n \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} \text{Pois}(\lambda)$

Prev. showed:

$$f(\underline{x}) = \underbrace{\left(\prod_n \frac{1}{x_n!} \mathbb{I}(x_n \in \mathbb{N}_0) \right)}_{h(\underline{x})} e^{-N\lambda} \exp\left(\underbrace{\left(\sum_n x_n \right)}_{T(\underline{x})} \underbrace{\log(\lambda)}_{w(\lambda)} \right)$$

So $T = \sum_n x_n$ is sufficient for λ .

Thrm! any invertible fn of a SS is also sufficient.

E.S. $T = \exp\left(\sum_n X_n\right)$ is sufficient
(above)

or $T = \frac{1}{N} \sum_n X_n = \bar{X}$ // //
